

# Indo-Tibetan and Indo-Nepal Border Security: Roles, Challenges, and Policy Implications of ITBP and SSB\*

Sagar Puri<sup>1</sup> • Kuldeep Verma<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Defence, Strategic & Geopolitical Studies, Hemvati Nandan Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Mountain Tourism and Hospitality Studies, Hemvati Nandan Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand

\*Corresponding Author Email Id: <u>kuldeepvermabhu@gmail.com</u>

Received: 02.05.2025; Revised: 07.06.2025; Accepted: 10.06.2025

©Society for Himalayan Action Research and Development

Abstract: This paper examines India's border security along two critical frontiers – the high Himalayan Indo-China border and the open Indo-Nepal border – with a focus on the Indo-Tibetan Border Police (ITBP) and the Sashastra Seema Bal (SSB). It reviews the historical evolution of these forces (raised after the 1962 Sino-Indian War), their mandates, and the geographic challenges of their deployment. Using official documents, media reports, and policy analysis, the paper analyses the specialised roles, capabilities, and technological tools of ITBP and SSB in patrolling and securing these frontiers. It highlights inter-agency coordination (with the Army, intelligence agencies, etc.) and bilateral cooperation (with neighbouring countries) as force multipliers. The analysis identifies strategic challenges stemming from China's assertiveness, infrastructure development, and regional geopolitics (including Nepal's evolving ties with China). Finally, the paper offers policy recommendations for enhancing border management through infrastructure investment, intelligence sharing, and community engagement. The findings aim to inform policymakers and scholars on optimising India's frontier security posture against a complex geopolitical backdrop.

Keywords: India-China border • Indo-Nepal border • ITBP • SSB • Border security • Geopolitics

#### Introduction

India's land borders encompass diverse terrains and challenges. The 3,488-3,800 km India-China frontier (Line of Actual Control or LAC) spans the western Himalayas (Ladakh) through Sikkim to Arunachal Pradesh (Khan 2024, Narayanan 2023). This undemarcated boundary - site of the 1962 Sino-Indian War and recent standoffs – is both and strategically mountainous sensitive (Verma 2023, Curtis & Grossman 2022). India's other northern frontier – the 1,751 km border with Nepal - remains officially open for free movement under a 1950 treaty, but security concerns (e.g. trafficking, thirdcountry infiltration) have risen (Gamble and Davis 2024). Two Central Armed Police Forces are tasked with these sectors: the Indo-Tibetan Border Police (ITBP) on the IndiaChina border, and the Sashastra Seema Bal (SSB) on the Indo-Nepal (and Bhutan) border (Pioneer News Service, 2019).

Historical Context: India's current border security architecture arose from mid-20thcentury conflicts. In the wake of the 1962 war with China, which exposed India's Himalayan border vulnerabilities, the government rapidly raised specialised border forces. The ITBP was established in 1962 (initially under the CRPF Act) to secure the northern frontiers against conventional and guerrilla threats (Narayanan 2023). Similarly, the Sashastra Seema Bal began in 1963 (as the Special Service Bureau) to strengthen border areas and support intelligence operations after the conflict (Singh 2013). Over time, these forces were expanded and reorganised: by 1978, ITBP had several battalions and specialist units for mountain



warfare (Narayanan 2023). In 2004, following a government review, ITBP assumed responsibility for India's entire 3,488 km China border, replacing the Assam Rifles in Sikkim and Arunachal Pradesh under the "One Border, One Force" policy (Kalyanaraman 2020).

Geographic and Strategic Significance: The India-China LAC covers Jammu & Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Sikkim and Arunachal Pradesh. The terrain predominantly high-altitude (up to 18,900 feet) and rugged, with extreme cold, blizzards and avalanches (Verma 2023). Key passes (e.g. Karakoram Pass, Sia La, Jachep La) and valleys (Pangong, Galwan) are contested. Critically, this region contains the headwaters of major rivers and China's growing infrastructure (roads, railways, and dams) adds strategic pressure (Curtis & Grossman 2022). India-China border talks have aimed to clarify undemarcated LAC, but unilateral infrastructure or troop movements (e.g. in 2020–21) have raised tensions.

The Indo-Nepal frontier differs markedly. It is a "flat, open" border with no fences (except at select check-posts), allowing free movement of people and goods (Baral & Pyakurel 2015). Nepal's geography (Himalayan foothills to plains) and its political leanings (growing Chinese influence) give this border strategic (Khadka sensitivity 2024). Contested trijunction areas (Lipulekh/Kalapani) have been flashpoints in India-Nepal ties (Aryal & Jung 2023). Moreover, Nepal sits between India and Tibet (China), so infrastructure projects (e.g. roads to the Tibetan border) have implications for India's security. The SSB thus operates in a context of bilateral trust but also potential exploitation: militants, smugglers or third-country nationals have been known to cross through Nepal's porous frontier (Gupta S nd).

## Methodology:

This study follows a qualitative analytical approach, primarily based on secondary

sources. Data was collected from official publications of security forces, policy briefs, academic journals, books, government reports, and verified media coverage. Key documents from the Ministry of Home Affairs, ITBP, and SSB were used to examine structural organisation, deployment strategies, and interagency coordination. Comparative analysis was applied to evaluate the distinct challenges and operational modalities of the Indo-Tibetan and Indo-Nepal border regions. The study also interprets technological and policy advancements in border security within the context of recent geopolitical developments, including China's infrastructural push and Nepal's evolving foreign alignment. The findings aim to inform a holistic understanding of the strategic roles and policy implications of ITBP and SSB in contemporary border management.

#### Results and Discussion

Roles and Capabilities of ITBP: The ITBP is India's specialised mountain force. Its primary mandate is to patrol and guard the entire Indo-China border, covering some 3,488-3,800 km from Ladakh to Arunachal Pradesh (Joshi, 2022). As of recent reports, ITBP maintains 173 permanent Border Out Posts (BOPs) along this frontier, with each BOP often stationed by over 100 personnel (Tripathi 2020). These posts are extremely remote – up to 18,900 feet - necessitating personnel trained for highaltitude warfare, mountaineering, skiing and survival in sub-zero temperatures (Das 2010). Beyond static defence, **ITBP** multifaceted roles. It is a first responder for disasters (glacial lake outbursts, avalanches) in the Himalayas, maintaining rescue battalions under the National Disaster Response Force (Parkash, 2014). It also undertakes civic actions (medical camps, flood relief), and its rigorous training includes mountaineering and Himalayan warfare at Mussoorie and other centres (Verma 2022).

SSB's Function Along the Indo-Nepal Border The Sashastra Seema Bal (SSB) guards the



Indo-Nepal and Indo-Bhutan borders. Its 1,751 km frontier with Nepal stretches from Sikkim in the east to Uttarakhand in the west. The terrain is mostly low hills and plains with high population density. SSB's key preventing infiltration. trafficking. smuggling (Pulami 2023, Khadka 2024). It maintains "nakas" (border posts), oversees Integrated Check Posts (ICPs) at crossings like Banbasa-Mahendranagar and Raxaul-Birguni, and engages in local intelligence through community ties (Baral & Pyakurel 2015). During crises like the 2015 Nepal earthquake and border blockade, **SSB** played humanitarian roles.

Technological Tools and Innovations: Modern border security integrates drones, LORROS, surveillance towers, and biometric tech. ITBP uses high-tech tools (UAVs, radars, sensors) for Himalayan surveillance (Tripathi, 2020). SSB employs biometric and CCTV systems and uses drones in hilly areas for real-time tracking (Pulami 2023, Khadka 2024).

Inter-agency and Bilateral Collaboration Border management involves ITBP, SSB, the Indian Army, IB, RAW, and NDRF. The Army provides artillery, helipad, and winter warfare support to ITBP (Kumar 2020). SSB coordinates with local police and APF in Nepal through joint meetings and flag drills (Singh 2013, Pulami 2023). India also works with Bhutan's Royal Police for Indo-Bhutan border management (Basumatary 2023).

On the Indo-Nepal border, technology tends toward surveillance towers, CCTVs at key points, and night-vision systems. Government advisories emphasise  $24 \times 7$ patrolling augmented by fence lines (where feasible), floodlights at vulnerable crossings, and "hitech surveillance equipment". Biometric entryexit systems have been piloted at some check posts to register travellers. The SSB has also begun using small drones in difficult terrain (hilly Uttarakhand, for example) to monitor border villages. Satellite and GIS mapping assist in identifying new footpaths. In all cases, secure communication links (radio and

satellite phones) ensure even isolated posts remain connected. Thus, a combination of drones, sensors, and infrastructure development is transforming how these forces detect and deter incursions at altitude and in the plains.

### **Inter-agency and Bilateral Collaboration**

Effective border security is a whole-ofgovernment effort. Domestically, ITBP and SSB coordinate with other Central Armed Police Forces and intelligence agencies. For instance, when suspicious individuals are caught, SSB works with the Intelligence Bureau (IB), Research & Analysis Wing (RAW), and local police for interrogation (Singh 2013, Pulami 2023). On the China border, ITBP shares intelligence with the Indian Army, which may station troops deeper inland; Army helipads and artillery support can aid ITBP posts (Kumar 2015). Both forces also liaise with the National Disaster Response Force, the State Police and civil authorities during emergencies such as floods and riots (Das 2010). Joint training exercises—such as those with Assam Rifles for mountainous warfare—further build inter-service rapport and operational coordination.

Bilateral cooperation is vital given shared concerns. With China, India has engaged in structured military diplomacy, including flag meetings and Corps Commander-level talks, to manage border standoffs and prevent escalation, particularly after flashpoints like the Galwan clash (Vaishnav 2024). Though "border management" agreements formal these regular dialogues remain limited, provide a mechanism for tactical de-escalation. the Nepal frontier, annual joint coordination conferences between the SSB and Nepal's Armed Police Force (APF) synchronise intelligence sharing and patrol strategies, especially to address narcotics trafficking, human smuggling, transnational criminal networks (Baral & Pyakurel 2015, Bhattarai 2021). The SSB and APF also conduct joint drills and flag meetings in sensitive zones like Birgunj-Raxaul and



Jhapa to ease localised tensions and build operational familiarity (Raghavan 2012).

Likewise, on the Indo-Bhutan border (also under SSB's purview), India maintains close cooperation with the Royal Bhutan Police, especially since Bhutan's military capacity is limited and India often provides defence and intelligence support. This was evident during joint vigilance efforts following the 2003 "Operation All Clear" and the 2017 Doklam standoff, which underscored the region's strategic significance (Basumatary 2023).

In sum, ITBP and SSB serve as operational nodes in a wider network of bilateral and interagency collaboration, reinforcing India's layered approach to frontier defence.

# Strategic Challenges from Regional Geopolitics

Several broader factors shape the stress on these border forces. The resurgence of Chinese assertiveness is paramount. Since 2020, the **PLA** has undertaken infrastructure development-including roads, bridges, and permanent dual-use villages—near sensitive zones along the LAC such as Pangong, Galwan, and Depsang, significantly limits of India's testing the deployment (Thapliyal 2023). The ITBP, tasked with LAC surveillance, faces persistent Chinese patrols and transgressions, necessitating a constant high-alert posture.

Simultaneously, China's defence modernisation—including artillery upgrades, widespread deployment of UAVs, and rigorous winter warfare training—has raised the threshold for India's technological and tactical parity (Thapliyal 2023). While diplomatic engagements continue, even minor incidents (such as the December 2022 Arunachal Pradesh scuffle) tend to prompt immediate military redeployments.

On the western flank, Pakistan-backed infiltration through the Indo-Nepal border remains a latent threat. Although security cooperation has improved, open-border vulnerabilities have been exploited in the past for trafficking and militant entry, with SSB

intercepting numerous third-country nationals, including suspected Pakistani operatives (Pioneer News Service 2019). Meanwhile, the Sino-Nepal strategic alignment—underscored by Chinese-financed road connectivity to Tibet—has begun to reshape Kathmandu's foreign policy calculus. This undermines the traditional India—Nepal security framework and places new pressure on border policing and diplomatic engagement.

Lastly, climate change poses severe long-term risks. Glacial melt, erratic monsoons, and flash floods have increased the ITBP's disaster response responsibilities in frontier zones like Uttarakhand and Arunachal Pradesh (Davis n.d.). When combined with budget and manpower constraints, these dynamic pressures force both ITBP and SSB to continually adapt policy and deployment models in real-time.

### **Policy Recommendations**

To strengthen India's border security posture, a multifaceted approach is essential. One of the foremost priorities is the expansion and modernisation of infrastructure. This includes the continued development of all-weather roads, permanent border outposts, and robust communication networks to reduce the operational isolation of frontier units. Border Out Posts (BOPs) must be upgraded with better insulation, backup power sources, and essential supply caches to ensure operational sustainability in extreme weather and during prolonged deployments.

Simultaneously, enhancing surveillance and intelligence capabilities is critical. The deployment of long-range cameras, unmanned aerial vehicles, and advanced electronic sensors across remote and inaccessible regions can help fill critical gaps in human patrolling. Equally important is the integration of real-time intelligence-sharing platforms among the ITBP, SSB, Army, and intelligence agencies such as the IB and RAW. Leveraging satellite imagery and data analytics can further assist in



forecasting adversary movements and ensuring rapid response.

Strengthening bilateral coordination remains pivotal. India should institutionalise more frequent border-level meetings with China to facilitate the de-escalation of incidents, while also engaging Nepal and Bhutan to manage transboundary challenges collaboratively. In the case of Nepal, the formulation of formal border management protocols is essential, particularly concerning visa-free movement, refugee regulation, and anti-trafficking mechanisms. In some cases. trilateral dialogues may be considered to address shared regional security concerns.

Investments in human capital are equally vital. Specialised training in mountain warfare, local languages, and community policing should be expanded, alongside incentive structures designed to retain personnel in difficult and high-risk postings. Additionally, Border Management Units should be empowered at the district level to integrate local police forces and community members, such as village defence committees, into border surveillance efforts.

Community engagement and regional development should he promoted simultaneously. Socio-economic upliftment of communities—through border improved roads. healthcare. education, and employment—will generate local goodwill and help create an informal network of intelligence. Initiatives such as cross-border cultural events and trade fairs can foster bonds neighbouring stronger with communities, thereby reducing the risk of infiltration and increasing local vigilance.

Finally, institutional reforms should be undertaken to assess the continued relevance of the "One Border, One Force" policy. Ensuring unified command structures, particularly along the LAC, is essential for operational coherence. A stronger alignment between the Ministry of Home Affairs and the Ministry of External Affairs on border security issues is necessary to present a unified national

strategy. Regular reviews of border security doctrines, taking into account technological developments and lessons from recent standoffs, should inform future policies.

In conclusion, securing India's Himalayan and sub-Himalayan frontiers demands a comprehensive strategy that blends hard power—military and technological—with soft power through diplomacy, development, and grassroots participation.

#### Conclusion

India's northern borders - with China and Nepal – are vital strategic environments that demand vigilant security. The ITBP and SSB, as specialised forces raised after the 1962 war, occupy distinct yet equally challenging frontiers. ITBP stands as the "sentinel" on the mountainous China border, trained for extreme terrain and recently reinforced with new battalions and sensors. SSB patrols a very different landscape - a flat, open border where its emphasis is on intelligence and community engagement. Both forces are adopting cutting-edge surveillance (drones, long-range cameras, data networks) but also serious challenges from regional geopolitics: a modernising PLA on the LAC and shifting alliances in South Asia. Effective inter-agency coordination at home diplomatic engagement abroad can multiply their impact. In sum, meeting the demands of these border regions requires continual adaptation: upgrading technology, refining doctrines, and aligning policy with the complex realities of India's northern periphery.

### References

Aryal, K. R., & Jung, S (2023). Finding an end to border disputes: The India Nepal imperative. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371576041">https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371576041</a>

Baral L R & Pyakurel U P (2015). Nepal–India open borders: problems and prospects. Vij Books.



- Basumatary S (2023). Ph.D. thesis on India-Bhutan border security. Retrieved from <a href="http://dspace.cus.ac.in/jspui/bitstream/">http://dspace.cus.ac.in/jspui/bitstream/</a>
  - http://dspace.cus.ac.in/jspui/bitstream/ 1/7897/1/Ph.D%20Thesis%20Sanshri ma%20Basumatary.pdf
- Bhattarai G (2021). Challenges to diplomatic efforts in resolving Nepal-India border problems in the Himalayas: A foreign policy analysis (FPA). Nepal Public Policy Review, 1(1), 1–30.
- Curtis L & Grossman D (2022). India-China border tensions and U.S. strategy in the Indo-Pacific. Center for a New American Security. Retrieved from <a href="https://s3.us-east-1.amazonaws.com/files.cnas.org/documents/CNAS-Report-India-China-Border-IPS-MAR23-v10.pdf">https://s3.us-east-1.amazonaws.com/files.cnas.org/documents/CNAS-Report-India-China-Border-IPS-MAR23-v10.pdf</a>
- Das P (2010). India's border management:
  select documents. Institute for
  Defence Studies and Analyses.
  Retrievedfrom
  <a href="https://www.idsa.in/publisher/system/files/book/book\_IndiasBorder\_Management.pdf">https://www.idsa.in/publisher/system/files/book/book\_IndiasBorder\_Management.pdf</a>
- Gamble R & Davis A E (2024). Bordering Ladakh, again: from ecological flows to cartographic competition. Asian Studies Review, 48(1), 179–199.
- Gupta S (n.d.). Socio-economic and geopolitical implications of the open border regime between India and Nepal. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.academia.edu/download/5">https://www.academia.edu/download/5</a> 6194938/INDO-NEPAL BORDER Sunidhi gupta.pdf
- Joshi M (2022). India's high-altitude sentinels: the ITBP's frontier deployment. Himalayan Strategic Studies. <a href="https://books.google.com/books?id=x">https://books.google.com/books?id=x</a> Rx4EAAAQBAJ
- Kalyanaraman S (2020). India's response to the China threat since 1949. In India's great power politics. Routledge India.
- Khadka R (2024). Cross border illegal migration and security challenges in

- Nepal. Journal of APF Command and Staff College, 7(1), 178–198.
- Kumar N (2020). Paramilitary forces in India: structure and reforms. Pentagon Press. <a href="https://books.google.com/books?id=Y">https://books.google.com/books?id=Y</a> <a href="https://books.google.com/books?id=Y">bfjDwAAQBAJ</a>
- Narayanan R (2023). Breaching the Himalayan frontiers: an adjunct of the China dream. Routledge. <a href="https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003461357-1">https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003461357-1</a>
- Parkash S (2014). Natural resource and disaster management in Uttarakhand Himalaya. Geo-environmental Characteristics.

  <a href="https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291116090">https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291116090</a>
- Pioneer News Service (2019, December 18).

  SSB: 59 caught crossing Indo-Nepal border this year. The Pioneer.

  <a href="https://www.dailypioneer.com/2019/india/ssb--59-caught-crossing--indo-nepal-border-this-yr.html">https://www.dailypioneer.com/2019/india/ssb--59-caught-crossing--indo-nepal-border-this-yr.html</a>
- Pulami M J (2023). Introducing the idea of border governance for Nepal-India open border. Journal of Political Science, 23(1), 77–97.
- Raghavan V R (2012). India's neighborhood: challenges in the next two decades. Penguin.

  <a href="https://books.google.com/books?id=LgCqCQAAQBAJ">https://books.google.com/books?id=LgCqCQAAQBAJ</a>
- Singh M (2013). India's border security: Challenges and options. Strategic Analysis, 37(5), 551–567.
- Thapliyal S (2023). India, China and the strategic Himalayas: Security and infrastructure challenges. Routledge. <a href="https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName">https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName</a> =doi
  <a href="mailto:download?identifierName">doi:download?identifierName</a> =doi
  <a href="mailto:download.
- Tripathi R (2020, September 12). ITBP's extra high-tech proposal for UAVs, radars, lorros set to be okayed. The Economic Times.



https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/ news/defence/itbps-extra-high-techproposal-for-uavs-radars-and-lorrosset-to-be-

okayed/articleshow/78067318.cms

Vaishnav m (2024). Managing India's border disputes: Lessons from Galwan to Tawang. Cambridge University Press. <a href="https://books.google.com/books?hl=en">https://books.google.com/books?hl=en</a> &lr=&id=--P6EAAAQBAJ

Verma K (2022). High-altitude policing: the ITBP's operational mandate. In S. Puri (Ed.), Himalayan Frontier Security. <a href="https://books.google.com/books?id=n">https://books.google.com/books?id=n</a> WFIEAAAQBAJ