

A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR A FINITE PRODUCT OF METRIC SPACES

U.C. GAIROLA AND A.S. RAWAT

Department of Mathematics, Pauri Campus of H.N.B. Garhwal University

Pauri Garhwal 246001, India

e-mail. ucgairola@rediffmail.com

Received-5-09-2009

Accepted-25-11-09

ABSTRACT

In this paper we establish a fixed point theorem for a pair of maps on a finite product of metric spaces. Our result extend and generalize the results of Prešić 1965, and Ćirić-Prešić 2007.

AMS(MOS)Subject Classification 2000): 54H25, 47H10.

Key Words and Phrases: Fixed point, finite product of metric spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Amongs the various generalization of well known Banach's contraction principle, in 1965 Prešić 1965 gave a contractive condition on metric spaces and proved the following result.

THEOREM 1.1 Prešić 1965. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, k a positive integer and $S : X^k \rightarrow X$ a mapping satisfying the following contractive type condition

$$(1.1) \quad d(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), S(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})) \leq q_1 d(x_1, x_2) + q_2 d(x_2, x_3) + \dots + q_k d(x_k, x_{k+1}),$$

for every x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , where q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k are non-negative constants such that

$q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_k < 1$. Then there exists a unique point x in X such that $S(x, \dots, x) = x$

Moreover if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k are arbitrary points in X and for, $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$x_{n+k} = S(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}),$$

then the sequence is convergent and

Further Ćirić – Prešić 2007 generalize the Theorem 1.1 stated as follows:

THEOREM 1.2 Ćirić – Prešić 2007 Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, k a positive integer and $S: X^k \rightarrow X$ a mapping satisfying the following contractive type condition

$$(1.2) \quad d(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), S(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max\{d(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k\},$$

for every x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X and $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ is constant. Then there exists a point x in X such that $S(x, \dots, x) = x$. Moreover if x_n are arbitrary points in X and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_{n+k} = S(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1}),$$

then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent and

$$\lim x_n = S(\lim x_n, \lim x_n, \dots, \lim x_n).$$

If in addition we suppose that on diagonal $\Delta \subset X^k$,

$$d(S(u, \dots, u), S(v, \dots, v)) < d(u, v)$$

holds for all $u, v \in X$, with $u \neq v$, then x is the unique point in X with

$$S(x, \dots, x) = x.$$

In this paper we will extend the contractive condition (1.2) for two maps by using the proof technique of Ćirić-Prešić 2007. Our result generalize the results of Prešić 1965 and Ćirić-Prešić 2007.

2. MAIN RESULT

Now we state our main theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, k a positive integer and $S, T: X^k \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying the following condition

$$(2.1) \quad d(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max\{d(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k\},$$

for every x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X and $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ is constant. Then there exists a point x in X such that

$$T(x, \dots, x) = x = S(x, \dots, x).$$

Moreover if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k are arbitrary points in X and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_{2n+k+1} = T(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+k}),$$

$$x_{2n+k+2} = S(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}, \dots, x_{2n+k+1}),$$

then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent and

$$S(x, y) = \frac{x}{3} + 2, \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in [2, 3] \times [2, 3],$$

$$S(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{6} - \frac{1}{3}, \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [2, 3] \text{ or } (x, y) \in [2, 3] \times [0, 1]$$

and

$$T(x, y) = \frac{y}{3}, \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1],$$

$$T(x, y) = \frac{y}{3} + 2, \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in [2, 3] \times [2, 3],$$

$$T(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{6} - \frac{1}{3}, \quad \text{if } (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [2, 3] \text{ or } (x, y) \in [2, 3] \times [0, 1].$$

Then for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$ we have $S(x, y) = z \in [0, 1]$ or $T(x, y) = z \in [0, 1]$ and for $x, y \in [2, 3]$ we have $S(x, y) = z \in [2, 3]$ or $T(x, y) = z \in [2, 3]$. Thus for or, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(x, y), T(y, z)) &= \left| \frac{x}{3} - \frac{z}{3} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [2, 3]$ or $(x, y) \in [2, 3] \times [0, 1]$ we have $S(x, y) = z \in [0, 1]$ or $T(x, y) = z \in [0, 1]$.

Therefore, if $x \in [0, 1]$ and $y \in [2, 3]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(x, y), T(y, z)) &= \left| \frac{x+y}{6} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{y+z}{6} + \frac{1}{3} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{x-y}{6} + \frac{y-z}{6} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in [2, 3]$ and $y \in [0, 1]$ then

$$d(S(x, y), T(y, z)) = \left| \frac{x+y}{6} - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{z}{3} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left| \frac{x+y-2z}{6} - \frac{1}{3} \right| \\ &< \left| \frac{x-z+y-z}{6} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \max\{d(x,y), d(y,z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus T satisfies (2.1) with $\lambda = \frac{2}{3}$, but for $x = 0$ and $y = 3$ we have $T(0,0) = 0 = S(0,0)$ and $T(3,3) = 3 = S(3,3)$.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $X = [0,1]$ with usual metric d and let $S, T : X^2 \rightarrow X$ be mappings defined by

$$S(x,y) = \frac{x}{4}, \quad T(x,y) = \frac{y}{4},$$

satisfying all the condition of Theorem 2.1 with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and there is a unique point 0 such that $S(0,0) = 0 = T(0,0)$.

REMARK 2.1. We get the Theorem 1.2 by setting $S = T$ in Theorem 2.1.

REMARK 2.2. In view of the Remark 1 of Ćirić - Prešić 2007, the condition (1.1) implies the conditions (2.1) and (2.3) with $S = T$.

REFERENCES

- L.B.Ćirić and S.B.Prešić, 2007 On Prešić type generalization of the Banach contraction mapping principle, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, vol. LXXVI (2), 143-147.
- S.B.Prešić, 1965 Sur la convergence des suites, Comptes Rendus de l'Acad. Des Sci. de Paris, 260, 3828-3830.
- S.B.Prešić, 1965 Sur une classe d'inequations aux differences finite et sur la convergence de certaines suites, Publ. De l'Inst. Math. Belgrade, 5 (19), 75-78.