Biometric study of the deep bodied mahseer *Tor tor* (Hamilton Buchanan) from Ujh River, Kathua (J&K).
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Abstract: Morphometric characters and meristic count of a fish, *Tor tor* (Hamilton Buchanan) have been studied from river Ujh, a tributary of river Ravi in District Kathua, (J&K). Collection of samples on monthly basis was conducted for the study of morphological characters of *Tor tor*. Present study shows some deviations with respect to few morphometric characters and meristic counts that have been aptly discussed in the following discussion. The positive correlation has been observed between total length and external body parts. The highly strong correlated body parameter in relation to total length is standard length (r = 0.98) and least correlated with eye diameter (r = 0.32) and the highly correlated external body part in relation to standard length is caudal length (r = 0.96) and least correlated part is eye diameter (r = 0.35) and also strong correlation was observed between head length and maximum body depth (r = 0.93) and least correlation between head length and eye diameter (r = 0.23). The correlation analysis shows that all the morphometric characters change proportionally with increase in the total length. These results act as baseline data on morphometry of *Tor tor* which helps in easy identification and will be beneficial for the development of conservational strategies of the natural stocks of *Tor tor* in river Ujh, Kathua (J&K).
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Introduction:

The huge water spread area and geophysical conditions of Jammu and Kashmir provide a great scope for a number of fish species to develop in natural as well as controlled condition. In both the division of the Union Territory viz., Jammu and Kashmir, we find fish and fisheries activities distinct to geophysical conditions, cold water fishes largely abound in Kashmir and the warm water fish and fisheries are dominant in Jammu. Taxonomy is all-important for the efficacious identification and management of fishery. Identification of the species plays a key role for the study of fish biology. Identification of fish is based on inter-relationship of morphometric, meristic and some descriptive characters. According to Nikolski (1961), the species is characterised by relatively high morphological stability, the results of adaptation to particular environment within which it formed, developed and lived. The characteristics of species represent its adaptation to given set of environmental conditions. The species presents its particular niche, within the limits of which living conditions are adequate and in accordance within its morphological peculiarities. The Mahseer (*Tor tor*) is the elegant sport fish known as the “tiger of water peril”. Mahseer
(Tor tor) is the most important game fish found all along the Himalayan region. In India it is found in Assam, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand, West Bengal, Nagaland, Himachal Pradesh and Jammu & Kashmir. Mahseer (Tor tor) is the most commercial fish of Jammu & Kashmir. The population of this fish has been declining because of overfishing, habitat loss, introduction of exotic species and human modification to the environment (Joshi et al., 1994). Mahseer (Tor tor) is the major fish having a great socio-economic role but in recent years, it has come under threatened category of fish and are now become endangered due to changing weather conditions, construction of dams act as physical barriers to this migratory species tending to prevent their access to their usual breeding and feeding grounds and high degree of toxic pollutants filling in the rivers of Jammu like Tawi, Basantar and Ujh. Though, Tor tor is typical hill stream fish and plays an important role to maintain the ecological balance of hill streams, hence study on its conservation and management is very essential. In fishes, the first step towards their conservation is accurate measurements and counts of fin rays elements. Morphometric and Meristic study are important tool for measuring discreteness of the same species. The importance of morphometric also been proved in the study of sexual dimorphism. The present work will be significant to give information to fishery biologist about morphometric and meristic characters of the fish Tor tor from river Ujh, Kathua district of Jammu and Kashmir. This will help to plan further conservation strategy for this fish.

Study Area: A tributary of river Ravi near Jasrota village having 32.4728 N, 75.4174 E Ujh river originates in Kailash Mountains near Bhaderwah hills, parts of Pir Panjal Range at an altitude of 4300m (14,100ft.). It flows a distance of nearly 100 Km some of it in Pakistani Punjab (Fig 1) before joining river near Chak Ram sahai in Indian Punjab (Jain et al., 2007).

Fig. 1: Geographical representation of Ujh River in Kathua District
Material and Methods:
A total number of 30 specimens of *Tor tor* (Mahseer) were collected from river Ujh, Kathua district of Jammu and Kashmir with the help of standard fishing gears from January (2020) to June (2020). The specimens were preserved in 10% formalin solution on the spot and were brought to the laboratory for further analysis. The meristic counts and morphometric measurements were recorded.

Morphometric Study: For morphometric studies, the parameters considered were the total length, standard length, head length, predorsal length, pre-ventral length, pre-anal length, caudal length, snout length, eye diameter and maximum body depth. These variables were studied in relation to total length, standard length and head length separately as per taxonomy requirement. Fish measurement board and sharp pointed needle like dividers were used for taking body measurements.

Meristic Study: Meristic counts are countable characters like fin rays and fin spines etc. were also studied with the help of fine forceps and hand lens.

Regression Analysis:
The original data were grouped into class intervals and the average value for the dependent (Y) and independent variables (X) were calculated.

Where Y is the dependent variables character like Standard length, Head length. X is the independent variables like Total length. a is the intercept. b is the regression coefficient.

These values then fed into an electronic calculator for computing the value of correlation coefficient (r) and the regression coefficient (b) along with intercept (a). The relationship determined by filling into the following straight line equation: The relationship is determined by fitting into the following straight line equation:

\[ Y = a + b \times X \]

Observations
For morphometric and meristic analysis 30 specimens of *Tor tor* were used in different size groups. Body is stout, elongated and compressed. The ventral profile is more arched than dorsal profile. Head is comparatively smaller than maximum body depth. Mouth is small and inferior with two pairs of barbles. Eyes are visible from below the head. Lips are thick and fleshy, running at angles of mouth. Large scales are present on the body. Scaly sheath present on the base of the dorsal and the last unbranched ray of dorsal fin is comparatively strong, smooth and osseous. Caudal din is deeply forked. Dorsal side is dark grey in colour. Dorsal, pectoral and anal fins are reddish in colour. As there was no any marked morphometric difference in male and female sexes, the detailed morphometric and meristic characters were studied in both the
sexes together. Five size groups were formed to interpret these characters. Morphometric characters of Tor tor are summarized in Table 1. The minimum sample size of fish is 5 was considered in size group 35-40 cm and maximum 9 in the size group 25-30 cm. Regression analysis of various body parameters with total length, standard length and head length were calculated and statistical values of intercept (a), regression coefficient (b), coefficient of correlation (r) and coefficient of determination (r²) are presented in Table 5, 6, 7 respectively.

Statistics regarding how body parameters grow in ratio of total length is presented in Table 2. The ratio of total length and standard length fluctuated in between 1.2 ± 0.02:1 in size group 35 to 40 cm to a maximum of 1.23 ± 0.04:1 in the size group of 20 to 25 cm. Ratio of total length and head length fluctuated from 5.86 ± 0.3:1 in the length group of 25 to 30 cm to a maximum of 6.38 ± 0.71:1 in the length group of 20 to 25 cm. Ratio of total length to pre dorsal length was minimum 2.55 ± 0.07:1 in the size group 20 to 25 cm and maximum 2.61 ± 0.13:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of total length to pre pectoral length was minimum 4.77 ± 0.18:1 in the size group 20 to 25 cm and maximum 5.53 ± 0.33:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of total length to pre pelvic length was minimum 2.41 ± 0.12:1 in the size group 30 to 35 cm and maximum 2.56 ± 0.35:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of total length to pre anal length was minimum 1.56 ± 0.15:1 in the size group 20 to 25 cm and maximum 1.78 ± 0.1:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of total length to eye diameter was minimum 24.99 ± 2.49:1 in the size group 20 to 25 cm and maximum 36 ± 0.96 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of total length to snout length was minimum 20.6 ± 2.42:1 in the size group 30 to 35 cm and maximum 27.28 ± 5.21:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of total length to maximum body depth was minimum 4.98 ± 0.32:1 in the size group 20 to 25 cm and maximum 5.52 ± 0.22:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of total length to caudal length was minimum 5.13 ± 0.09:1 in the size group 20 to 25 cm and maximum 5.36 ± 0.2:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm.

Body parameters in ratio of standard length were calculated and presented in Table 3. The ratio of standard length and head length fluctuated from a minimum 4.77 ± 0.22:1 in the size group of 25 to 30 cm to a maximum of 5.24 ± 0.34:1 in the size group of 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of standard length to pre dorsal length was minimum 2.08 ± 0.03:1 in the size group 25 to 30 cm and maximum 2.17 ± 0.26:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of standard length to pre pectoral length was minimum 3.89 ± 0.15:1 in the size group 20 to 25 cm and maximum 4.59 ± 0.28:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of standard length to pre pelvic length was minimum 2.01 ± 0.14:1 in the size group 30 to 35 cm and maximum 2.13 ± 0.29:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of standard length to pre anal length was minimum 1.27 ± 0.14:1 in a length group...
20 to 25 cm and maximum 1.48 ± 0.09:1 in the length group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of standard length to eye diameter was minimum 20.39 ± 1.78:1 in the size group 20 to 25 cm and maximum 30.4 ± 1.14:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of standard length to snout length was minimum 17.22 ± 2.32:1 in the size group 30 to 35 cm and maximum 22.65 ± 4.31:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of standard length to maximum body depth was minimum 4.07 ± 0.3:1 in the size group 21 to 25 cm and maximum 4.58 ± 0.21:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. Ratio of standard length to caudal length was minimum 4.09 ± 0.17:1 in the size group 25 to 30 cm and maximum 4.45 ± 0.13:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm.

Body parameters in ratio of head length were calculated and presented in Table 4. The ratio of head length to eye diameter fluctuated from minimum 3.98 ± 0.7:1 in the size group of 20 to 25 cm and maximum of 5.82 ± 0.4:1 in a length group of 35 to 40 cm. The ratio of head length to snout length was minimum 3.37 ± 0.49:1 in size group 30 to 35 cm and maximum 4.34 ± 0.87:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm. The ratio of head length to maximum body depth was minimum 0.79 ± 0.1:1 in the size group 20 to 25 cm and maximum 0.88 ± 0.03:1 in the size group 35 to 40 cm.

Data on modelling based on regression analysis is presented in the Table 5 (Total length as independent parameter), Table 6 (Standard length as independent variable) and Table 7 (Head length as independent parameter). Different models and allied statistical parameters are as follows:

1. Standard length = -0.99 + 0.86 Total length
   Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.98,
   Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.95
2. Head length = 0.12 + 0.16 Total length
   Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.92
   Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.84
3. Pre dorsal length = 0.39 + 0.38 Total length
   Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.94
   Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.88
4. Pre pectoral length = 1.79 + 0.13 Total length
   Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.93
   Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.86
5. Pre pelvic length = 0.61 + 0.39 Total length
   Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.87
   Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.75
6. Pre anal length = 5.42 + 0.42 Total length
   Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.88
   Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.77
7. Eye diameter = 0.79 + 0.01 Total length
   Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.32
   Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.10
8. Snout length = 0.37 + 0.03 Total length
   Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.55
   Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.30
9. Max. body depth = 1.46 + 0.14 Total length
   Coefficient of correlation (r) = 0.90
   Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.81
10. Caudal length = 0.64 + 0.17 Total length
    Coefficient of correlation (r) = 0.98
       Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.96.
11. Head length = 0.6 + 0.17 Standard length
    Coefficient of correlation (r) = 0.8769
       Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.769
12. Pre Dorsal length = 1.3577 + 0.4167 Standard length
Coefficient of correlation (r) = 0.9148  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.83

13. Pre pectoral length = 2.0626 + 0.149 Standard length  
Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.9224  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.85

14. Pre pelvic length = 1.3324 + 0.4402 Standard length  
Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.8669  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.752

15. Pre anal length = 6.783 + 0.4536 Standard length  
Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.833  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.694

16. Eye diameter = 0.7874 + 0.007 Standard length  
Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.3564  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.127

17. Snout length = 0.3957 + 0.0388 Standard length  
Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.5746  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.33

18. Maximum body depth = 1.881 + 0.1565 Standard length

19. Caudal length = 1.03 + 0.19 Standard length  
Coefficient of correlation (r) = 0.96  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.92

20. Eye diameter = 0.844 + 0.0234 Head length  
Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.2356  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.056

21. Snout length = 0.4431 + 0.1855 Head length  
Correlation coefficient (r) = 0.5441  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.296

22. Maximum body depth = 1.575 + 0.8532 Head length  
Coefficient of correlation (r) = 0.9315  
Coefficient of determination (r²) = 0.868

Table 1: Summarized Data on the Morphometrics of *Tor tor*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Size Gps (cm)</th>
<th>TL</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>HL</th>
<th>PDL</th>
<th>PPL</th>
<th>PVPL</th>
<th>PVL</th>
<th>PAL</th>
<th>ED</th>
<th>Sn. L</th>
<th>MBD</th>
<th>CL</th>
<th>No. of fish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>23.59±1.0</td>
<td>19.26±0.83</td>
<td>3.75±0.53</td>
<td>4.95±0.44</td>
<td>9.25±0.09</td>
<td>15.33±0.99</td>
<td>1.11±0.08</td>
<td>19.04±1.1</td>
<td>0.99±0.04</td>
<td>6.09±0.38</td>
<td>6.64±0.21</td>
<td>1.17±0.14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>27.96±1.6</td>
<td>22.79±0.59</td>
<td>4.78±0.25</td>
<td>10.94±0.77</td>
<td>5.41±0.36</td>
<td>11.43±0.65</td>
<td>17.2±0.93</td>
<td>19.04±1.2</td>
<td>0.91±0.04</td>
<td>12.49±0.12</td>
<td>6.09±0.42</td>
<td>6.64±0.42</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30-35</td>
<td>32.1±1.2</td>
<td>26.81±0.33</td>
<td>5.24±0.37</td>
<td>12.49±0.82</td>
<td>6.09±0.27</td>
<td>13.36±0.92</td>
<td>19.04±0.89</td>
<td>19.04±1.0</td>
<td>0.99±0.04</td>
<td>15.8±0.15</td>
<td>6.05±0.04</td>
<td>6.18±0.04</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>36.6±0.9</td>
<td>30.4±0.14</td>
<td>5.82±0.42</td>
<td>14.14±0.38</td>
<td>6.64±0.14</td>
<td>14.5±0.21</td>
<td>20.6±1.21</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>1.38±0.14</td>
<td>6.64±0.26</td>
<td>6.84±0.26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2: Growth of Total Length in Ratio of Different Body Parts of *Tor tor*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Size Groups (cm)</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>HL</th>
<th>PDL</th>
<th>PPL</th>
<th>PVL</th>
<th>PAL</th>
<th>ED</th>
<th>Sn. L</th>
<th>MBD</th>
<th>CL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>1.23±0.04</td>
<td>6.38±0.71</td>
<td>2.55±0.18</td>
<td>4.77±0.18</td>
<td>2.46±0.15</td>
<td>1.56±0.49</td>
<td>24.99±0.06</td>
<td>21.69±0.32</td>
<td>4.98±0.08</td>
<td>5.13±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>1.23±0.03</td>
<td>5.86±0.91</td>
<td>2.56±0.34</td>
<td>5.18±0.19</td>
<td>2.45±0.05</td>
<td>1.63±0.07</td>
<td>31.12±0.17</td>
<td>22.46±0.05</td>
<td>5.01±0.30</td>
<td>5.01±0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30-35</td>
<td>1.2±0.06</td>
<td>6.14±0.32</td>
<td>2.57±0.12</td>
<td>5.28±0.12</td>
<td>2.41±0.08</td>
<td>1.69±0.15</td>
<td>32.53±0.37</td>
<td>20.6±0.04</td>
<td>5.32±0.11</td>
<td>5.2±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>1.2±0.02</td>
<td>6.31±0.30</td>
<td>2.61±0.15</td>
<td>5.53±0.14</td>
<td>2.46±0.12</td>
<td>1.65±0.10</td>
<td>37.77±0.38</td>
<td>22.56±0.17</td>
<td>5.17±0.13</td>
<td>5.17±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.22±0.04</td>
<td>6.15±0.36</td>
<td>2.57±0.13</td>
<td>5.15±0.10</td>
<td>2.46±0.12</td>
<td>1.65±0.10</td>
<td>30.77±0.36</td>
<td>22.56±0.17</td>
<td>5.17±0.13</td>
<td>5.17±0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Growth of Standard Length in Ratio of Different Body Parts of *Tor tor*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Size Groups (cm)</th>
<th>HL</th>
<th>PDL</th>
<th>PPL</th>
<th>PVL</th>
<th>PAL</th>
<th>ED</th>
<th>Sn. L</th>
<th>MBD</th>
<th>CL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>5.22±0.07</td>
<td>2.08±0.07</td>
<td>3.89±0.15</td>
<td>2.01±0.15</td>
<td>1.27±0.14</td>
<td>20.39±0.78</td>
<td>17.71±0.46</td>
<td>4.07±0.04</td>
<td>4.19±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>4.77±0.02</td>
<td>2.08±0.03</td>
<td>4.22±0.25</td>
<td>2.15±0.15</td>
<td>1.32±0.06</td>
<td>25.35±0.39</td>
<td>18.26±0.21</td>
<td>4.08±0.03</td>
<td>4.09±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30-35</td>
<td>5.14±0.04</td>
<td>2.15±0.16</td>
<td>4.41±0.25</td>
<td>2.01±0.14</td>
<td>1.41±0.12</td>
<td>27.16±0.14</td>
<td>17.22±0.32</td>
<td>4.45±0.36</td>
<td>4.34±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>5.24±0.03</td>
<td>2.17±0.26</td>
<td>4.59±0.28</td>
<td>2.13±0.29</td>
<td>1.48±0.09</td>
<td>30.4±0.14</td>
<td>22.65±0.31</td>
<td>4.58±0.21</td>
<td>4.45±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.07±0.04</td>
<td>2.12±0.14</td>
<td>4.24±0.33</td>
<td>2.03±0.17</td>
<td>1.36±0.13</td>
<td>25.35±0.07</td>
<td>18.56±0.43</td>
<td>4.26±0.36</td>
<td>4.27±0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Growth of Head Length in Ratio of Different Body Parts of *Tor tor*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Size Groups (cm)</th>
<th>Eye-Diameter</th>
<th>Snout-Length</th>
<th>Maximum Body depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>3.98±0.7</td>
<td>3.42±0.52</td>
<td>0.79±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>5.31±0.63</td>
<td>3.84±0.72</td>
<td>0.85±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30-35</td>
<td>5.31±0.44</td>
<td>3.37±0.49</td>
<td>0.87±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>5.82±0.4</td>
<td>4.34±0.87</td>
<td>0.88±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>5.04±0.87</td>
<td>3.68±0.71</td>
<td>0.84±0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Regression Analysis and Correlation Coefficient between Total Length and Dependent Parameters of *Tor tor*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Dependent Parameter</th>
<th>Intercept (a)</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient (b)</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (r)</th>
<th>Coefficient of Determination (r^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard Length</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Head Length</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pre-Dorsal Length</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pre-Pectoral Length</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pre-Pelvic Length</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pre-Anal Length</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Eye-Diameter</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Snout-Length</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Maximum Body depth</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Caudal Length</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Regression Analysis and Correlation Coefficient between Standard Length and Dependent Parameters of *Tor tor*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Dependent Parameter</th>
<th>Intercept (a)</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient (b)</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (r)</th>
<th>Coefficient of Determination (r^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Head length</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.876931</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pre-Dorsal Length</td>
<td>1.3577</td>
<td>0.4167</td>
<td>0.914813</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pre-Pectoral Length</td>
<td>2.0626</td>
<td>0.1493</td>
<td>0.922436</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pre-Pelvic Length</td>
<td>1.3324</td>
<td>0.4402</td>
<td>0.86694</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pre-Anal Length</td>
<td>6.783</td>
<td>0.4536</td>
<td>0.833309</td>
<td>0.694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Eye-Diameter</td>
<td>0.7874</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.356498</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Snout-Length</td>
<td>0.3957</td>
<td>0.0388</td>
<td>0.574644</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Max. Body depth</td>
<td>1.8818</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
<td>0.863317</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Caudal Length</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Regression Analysis and Correlation Coefficient between Head Length and Dependent Parameters of *Tor tor*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Dependent Parameter</th>
<th>Intercept (a)</th>
<th>Regression Coefficient (b)</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient (r)</th>
<th>Coefficient of Determination (r²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Eye-Diameter</td>
<td>0.8445</td>
<td>0.0234</td>
<td>0.235623</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Snout-Length</td>
<td>0.4431</td>
<td>0.1855</td>
<td>0.5441</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Maximum Body depth</td>
<td>1.5715</td>
<td>0.8532</td>
<td>0.931555</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Meristic analysis:** During the present studies, 5 meristic characters have been counted i.e. Dorsal fin rays, Pectoral fin rays, Pelvic fin rays, Anal fin rays and Caudal fin rays. Meristic characters have definite number and count. The fin formula was summarised as:


**Results and Discussion**

In the present study, no any remarkable characters of sexual dimorphism were noticed in *Tor tor* except during breeding season when slight roughness on the belly of male fish was seen whereas there was smoothness on the belly of female. It is a temporary character which is seen especially during breeding season of fish. Secondary sexual characters of many fishes are reported in earlier literature. According to Gunther (1886), in the most teleost, the enlargement and coloration of the belly in adult female loaches is a characteristics feature during the breeding season. Hora (1922) identified sex distinguishing characters in male of *Noemacheilus tibetanus* a slit like deep grooves in front of the eye and a kind of padding and thickening with tubercles on the upper surface of pectoral fins as found in most of the cyprinids.

The tubercles as a sexual character were also recorded on the sides of head by Desai (1973) in *Tor tor* of Narmada River. In Kumaun *Tor tor*, these tubercles were found spread over the head, while they were absent in *Tor putitora* of Narmada. According to Pathani (1978), some males were brighter than the females and some males had small black spots on the lateral sides of mouth in *Tor tor*. The golden colour might be absent in young specimens. Similar characteristics were found in fishes under study in river Ujh (kathua).

During present investigations the correlation-ship between Total length and external body parts are studied. The most highly correlated body parameter in relation to total length is Standard length (r = 0.98) and least correlation with eye diameter (r = 0.32) in table 2.5. The correlation-ship between Standard and external body parts, analysis shows highest correlation with Caudal length (r = 0.96) and least correlation with eye diameter (r = 0.35).
in table 2.6 and the correlation-ship between Head length and external body parts shows close relationship with maximum body depth (r = 0.93) and least value with eye diameter in table 2.7. The correlation analysis shows that all the morphometric character examined and shows a significant positive correlation which indicates isometric growth in all the organs of *Tor tor* under natural conditions. Singh and Dobriyal (1983) studied the morphometric characters and their relationships in the hillstream cat fish *Pseudecheneis sulcatus* (McClelland) collected in the river Alaknanda at Srinagar and found no second stock. According to Dobriyal and Bahuguna (1987), there was no significant difference in the stock of population of *N. montanus* collected from Khand stream. Dobriyal *et al.* (1988) also reported single stock in *Noemacheilus denisonii* and *Noemacheilus multifaciatus* from the same stream. Uniyal *et al.* (2005) also studied the morphometric characters and their relationship in the fish *Tor chilinoides* at Western Nayar and found no any second stock. Bahuguna (2007) concluded that there was a single stock of the population of *Puntius conchonius* (Ham-Buch) in river Mandal. The diagnostic features shows that *Tor tor* is deep bodied Mahseer having head is smaller than body depth. Mouth is small with two pair of barbels. Dorsal fin is opposite to ventral fin. Caudal fin deeply forked with caudal peduncle. It is caudal, pelvic and anal fins show tint of reddish golden colour while the body above its lateral line is generally golden in colour in adulthoods. Lateral line is complete. During present studies meristic counts were counted like dorsal spine or rays 12 in numbers, pectoral rays are14-16 in numbers, pelvic fin rays are 9 in numbers, anal fin rays 7 in numbers, caudal rays are 19-20 in number, 2 pairs of barbells are present, Scales above lateral line are 4-5, Scales below lateral line are 4-5 in numbers. All the meristic characters are almost constant in all the length groups of fish with different body length which is similar to the studies carried out by Zafar *et al.* (2012) and Rahman (1989).

The morphometric and meristic characters shows linear regression relationship and confirmed that the test specimen is *Tor tor* and there is single stock of population. These results will be beneficial for fishery research, taxonomy and for management and conservation of fish.
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